

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/920,179	08/01/2001		Joseph Michael Bennett		4496	
34457	7590	06/22/2005		EXAM	INER	
PHOENIX BOX 258	TECHN	OLOGY LAW G	NGUYEN, DINH Q			
	H HAYD	EN ROAD, NO. 12	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85257				3752		

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
•
1 .
~

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/920,179	BENNETT, JOSEPH MICHAEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>ne 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-55</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 48-55 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48-55</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-47</u> is/are rejected.		•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	d					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_ ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/920,179 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's remarks of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action are persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

2. The indicated allowability of claims 26, 35, 37, 45, 47 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Johnson, and Crouch. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 21-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "single unit" does not disclose in the specification for the elected Species II of Figure 2.
- 5. For the purpose of this Office action, the claims will be examined as best understood by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/920,179

Art Unit: 3752

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 21-23, 27-32, 36, 38-42, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bennet.

Bennet discloses a hazardous control system comprising: a hazard control material 5, the shatterable single container 1 (figure 3) that conforms to the fluid line of the fuel tank that protruding through holes 7 (see column 4, lines 58+), the container 1 formed by 2 single face sheets 2.

8. Claims 21-23, 27-32, 36, 38-42, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams et al.

Adams et al discloses a hazardous control system comprising: a hazard control material 14, the shatterable single container 12 (see column 4, lines 40+) that conforms to the fluid reservoir (see column 2, lines 41+).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 24, 26, 33, 35, 37, 43, 45, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennet or Adams et al. in view of Johnson.

Bennet or Adams et al teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the shatterable container with first material has a different brittleness than the second

Art Unit: 3752

material. However, Johnson discloses a hazardous control system with a shatterable container 6 having an outer layer first material of thin strip impervious material, an inner layer of second material of a plurality of scorings 29 (see figures 1 and 2, and page 2, lines 4+). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Bennet or Adams et al with a shatterable container wherein the first material has a different brittleness than the second material as suggested by Johnson. Doing so would provide an effective way to fight fires (see page 1, lines 10+).

11. Claims 25, 34, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennet or Adams et al. in view of Crouch.

Bennet or Adams et al teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a breaking element. Crouch discloses a hazardous control system with a shatterable container 36 and a breaking element 42/44 for breaking the container 36. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Bennet or Adams et al with a breaking element. Doing so would provide an effective way to fight fires (see page 1, lines 25+).

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. The limitation "single unit" does not disclose in the specification for the elected Species II of Figure 2. Furthermore, in page 15, line 1+, the Applicant is teaching away from the "single unit".

Art Unit: 3752

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-47 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn